Mixing Dirichlet Topic Models and Word Embeddings to Make lda2vec

Christopher E. Moody

Saarland University Seminar: Embeddings for NLP and IR Lecturer: Cristina España i Bonet

Nora Graichen and Insa Kröger July 3rd, 2019

Table of Contents

- 1. Motivation (Nora & Insa)
- 2. LDA and word2vec (Nora)
- 3. Model
	- a. Architecture (Nora)
	- b. Loss Function (Insa)
	- c. Data and Results (Insa)
- 4. Conclusion (Nora & Insa)

What is lda2vec?

word embeddings:

 \rightarrow word2vec,

skip-gram method

topic models:

 \rightarrow LDA

Latent Dirichlet Allocation

What is lda2vec?

word embeddings:

 \rightarrow word2vec,

skip-gram method

topic models:

 \rightarrow IDA

Latent Dirichlet Allocation

lda2vec:

 \rightarrow topic model,

builds document representations on top of word embeddings

What is the general goal of topic models?

produce interpretable document representations, given a collection of unlabelled documents

 \rightarrow discover topics or structure

document Farming-X:

- 40% topic "vegetables"
- 40% topic "economy"
- 20% topic "water culture"

Why is lda2vec useful?

Why is lda2vec useful?

word vectors \rightarrow revealing relationships between words

document vectors \rightarrow revealing topical distributions over documents

Why is lda2vec useful?

word vectors

 \rightarrow revealing relationships between words

document vectors \rightarrow revealing topical distributions over documents **combination**

Why is lda2vec useful?

1. Global document themes with local word patterns

Why is lda2vec useful?

word vectors \rightarrow revealing relationships between words document vectors \rightarrow revealing topical distributions over documents **combination**

- 1. Global document themes with local word patterns
- 2. Dense word vectors but sparse document vectors

Why is lda2vec useful?

word vectors

 \rightarrow revealing relationships between words

document vectors \rightarrow revealing topical distributions over documents **combination**

- - 1. Global document themes with local word patterns
	- 2. Dense word vectors but sparse document vectors
		- 3. Mixture of topic models for interpretability

Table of Contents

- 1. Motivation (Nora & Insa)
- 2. LDA and word2vec (Nora)
- 3. Model
	- a. Architecture (Nora)
	- b. Loss Function (Insa)
	- c. Data and Results (Insa)
- 4. Conclusion (Nora & Insa)

Latent Dirichlet Allocation - LDA

- probabilistic topic model
	- \rightarrow find the structure or topics in unlabelled document collection
- takes advantage of global (document level) information for predicting words
- assumption: word usage is correlated with topic occurrence
- input: number of topics that occur in the collection, manually assign a distinct 'topic' to the different topic vector

LDA and word2vec

0%0%0%0%0% ... 0%, 9%, 78%, 11%]

LDA and word2vec

LDA and word2vec

0%0%0%0%0% ... 0%, 9%, 78%, 11%]

 $\left[-0.75, -1.25, -0.55, -0.27, -0.94, 0.44, 0.05, 0.31 ... -0.12, +2.2 \right]$

Table of Contents

- 1. Motivation (Nora & Insa)
- 2. LDA and word2vec (Nora)
- 3. Model
	- a. Architecture (Nora)
	- b. Loss Function (Insa)
	- c. Data and Results (Insa)
- 4. Conclusion (Nora & Insa)

lda2vec - architecture: mix local words with global interpretable document vectors

lda2vec - architecture Document weight $# \text{topics}$ German airline and Lufthansa when is ∂ -0.1 0.17 Skip grams from Document proportion sentences $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ #topics German 26% 34% Word vector Topic matrix Document vector $# \text{topics}$ $\#$ hidden units $\#$ hidden units $\#\hbox{hidden}$ -0.6 -0.3 -1.9 -1.7 -1.9 -0.7 -0.4 -0.3 -0.7 $\vec{c}_j = \vec{w}_j + \vec{d}_j$ snum 'specialised' word vectors: Context vector $\#$ hidden units -2.6 -1.3 -0.6 -0.8 local inter-word relationships + document-wide relationships \sqrt{r} Negative sampling loss negative sampling *L neg*Lufthansa airline *is* German iand when a

Table of Contents

- 1. Motivation (Nora & Insa)
- 2. LDA and word2vec (Nora)
- 3. Model
	- a. Architecture (Nora)
	- b. Loss Function (Insa)
	- c. Data and Results (Insa)
- 4. Conclusion (Nora & Insa)

- simultaneous global and local prediction
- local features \rightarrow improve predicting neighbouring words
- global features \rightarrow capture themes across sentences and documents

LDA loss

$$
L = L^d + \sum_{ij} L_{ij}^{neg}
$$

$$
L^d = \lambda \sum_{jk} (\alpha - 1) \log p_{jk}
$$

Dirichlet likelihood loss likelihood of *document j* in *topic k*

$$
L = \underbrace{L^d}_{ij} + \sum_{ij} L^{neg}_{ij}
$$

$$
L^d = \lambda \sum_{jk} (\alpha - 1) \log \boxed{p_{jk}}
$$

document weights

weights are optimized with respect to Dirichlet likelihood

$$
L = L^d + \sum_{ij} L_{ij}^{neg}
$$

$$
L^d = \lambda \sum_{jk} (\alpha - 1) \log p_{jk}
$$

document proportions parameter

 $\alpha > 1 \rightarrow$ homogenous

 α < 1 \rightarrow sparse

$$
L = L^d + \sum_{ij} L_{ij}^{neg}
$$

$$
L^d = \lambda \sum_{jk} (\alpha - 1) \log p_{jk}
$$

document proportions parameter

 $\alpha > 1 \rightarrow$ homogenous

 α < 1 \rightarrow sparse

 $\alpha = n^{-1} \rightarrow$ sparse memberships over *n* topics

$$
L = L^d + \sum_{ij} L_{ij}^{neg}
$$

$$
L^d = \lambda \sum_{jk} (\alpha - 1) \log p_{jk}
$$

tuning parameter λ adjusts relevance of given word in topic

$$
L = L^d + \left| \sum_{ij} L_{ij}^{neg} \right|
$$

word2vec loss

$$
L = L^d + \left| \sum_{ij} L_{ij}^{neg} \right|
$$

$$
L_{ij}^{neg} = log \space \sigma(\vec{c_j} \cdot \vec{w_i}) + \sum_{l=0}^{n} log \space \sigma(-\vec{c_j} \cdot \vec{w_l})
$$

context vectors are combination of word and document vectors

 $\vec{c_j} = \vec{w_j} + \vec{d_j}$

$$
L = L^d + \left| \sum_{ij} L_{ij}^{neg} \right|
$$

$$
\vec{c_j} = \vec{w_j} + \vec{d_j}
$$

$$
L_{ij}^{neg} = log \space \sigma(\vec{c_j} \cdot \vec{w_i}) + \sum_{l=0}^{n} log \space \sigma(-\vec{c_j} \cdot \vec{w_l})
$$

sum of weighted topic vectors

$$
\vec{d_j} = \boxed{a_{j0} \cdot \vec{t_0} + a_{j1} \cdot \vec{t_1} + \dots}
$$

$$
\vec{d_j} = a_{j0} \cdot \vec{t_0} + a_{j1} \cdot \vec{t_1} + \dots
$$

$$
L_{ij}^{neg} = log \space \sigma(\vec{c_j} \cdot \vec{w_i}) + \sum_{l=0}^{n} log \space \sigma \left(-\vec{c_j} \cdot \vec{w_l} \right)
$$

randomly sampled negative words and contexts

Table of Contents

- 1. Motivation (Nora & Insa)
- 2. LDA and word2vec (Nora)
- 3. Model
	- a. Architecture (Nora)
	- b. Loss Function (Insa)
	- c. Data and Results (Insa)
- 4. Conclusion (Nora & Insa)

Data - Twenty Newsgroups

- Twenty Newsgroups:
	- around 9'000 unique tokens in 11'300 documents
	- initialized with pretrained vectors
	- n = 20 topics, negative sampling $β = 0.75$

Average topic coherences. Topic coherence has been demonstrated to correlate with human evaluations of topic models (Röder et al., 2015). The number of topics chosen is given, as well as the negative sampling exponent parameter β.

Data - Twenty Newsgroups

- Twenty Newsgroups:
	- around 9'000 unique tokens in 11'300 documents
	- initialized with pretrained vectors
	- $n = 20$ topics, negative sampling $\beta = 0.75$

Average topic coherences. Topic coherence has been demonstrated to correlate with human evaluations of topic models (Röder et al., 2015). The number of topics chosen is given, as well as the negative sampling exponent parameter β.

Topic coherence discovered by lda2vec in the Twenty Newsgroups dataset. first row: inferred topic label, below: tokens with highest similarity to the topic Corpus contains corresponding newsgroups: sci.space, sci.crypt, comp.windows.x and talk.politics.mideast.

- Hacker News Comments corpus:
	- around 110 thousand unique tokens in 66 thousand documents
	- no pretrained vectors but random initialization
	- 256 hidden units
	- n = 40 topics, negative sampling power $β = 0.75$

Topics discovered by lda2vec in the Hacker News comments dataset. first row: inferred topic label Tokens formed from noun phrases to capture the unique vocabulary of this specialized corpus

Topics discovered by lda2vec in the HNC dataset. first row: inferred topic label. Tokens formed from noun phrases to capture the unique vocabulary of this specialized corpus

Given an example **token** in the top row, the **most similar words** available in the HNC corpus are reported

Topics discovered by lda2vec in the HNC dataset. first row: inferred topic label. Tokens formed from noun phrases to capture the unique vocabulary of this specialized corpus

linear relationships in the HNC dataset. first column: example input query second column: token most similar to the input

Given an example **token** in the top row, the **most similar words** available in the HNC corpus are reported

Table of Contents

- 1. Motivation (Nora & Insa)
- 2. LDA and word2vec (Nora)
- 3. Model
	- a. Architecture (Nora)
	- b. Loss Function (Insa)
	- c. Data and Results (Insa)
- 4. Conclusion (Nora & Insa)

Conclusion lda2vec

- more than just a topic model
- captures semantic meaning of words

 \rightarrow word, topic, document vectors are trained and embedded in a common representation space

- "human interpretable"
- sparse and interpretable document-to-topic proportions in LDA style
- includes more contexts and features than LDA

 \rightarrow obtain 'specialised' word vectors

Conclusion lda2vec

The goal: Use all of this context to learn interpretable topics.

Weak points

- very experimental
- no real baseline comparison to standalone word2vec and LDA
- heavily computationally expensive \rightarrow GPU's are needed
- word vector nuances are compressed \rightarrow syntactical / semantical information may get lost
- (some) tables do not contain metrics \rightarrow which scales of similarity?

Weak points: very specific!

If you want...

human-interpretable doc topics, use LDA.

machine-useable word-level features, use word2vec.

topics over user / doc / region / etc. features, use **Ida2vec**. (and you have a GPU)

Strong points

- good documentation for starting
- may reveal trends that only word vectors cannot capture
- easily human interpretable (not just machine readable)
- sparks experimentation and new approaches

Illustration

Jupyter Notebook for illustration purposes

[https://nbviewer.jupyter.org/github/cemoody/lda2vec/blob/master/examples/twe](https://nbviewer.jupyter.org/github/cemoody/lda2vec/blob/master/examples/twenty_newsgroups/lda2vec/lda2vec.ipynb#topic=0&lambda=0.06&term=) [nty_newsgroups/lda2vec/lda2vec.ipynb#topic=0&lambda=0.06&term=](https://nbviewer.jupyter.org/github/cemoody/lda2vec/blob/master/examples/twenty_newsgroups/lda2vec/lda2vec.ipynb#topic=0&lambda=0.06&term=)

Questions?

References

- Christopher E. Moody. (2016)
	- Mixing Dirichlet Topic Models and Word Embeddings to Make lda2vec.
- Introducing our Hybrid lda2vec Algorithm: [https://multithreaded.stitchfix.com/blog/2016/05/27/lda2vec](https://multithreaded.stitchfix.com/blog/2016/05/27/lda2vec/#topic=38&lambda=0.6&term=)
- Chris Moody introduces lda2vec:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eHcBeVnAiD4>